***Haleh Karimi***

***University of Louisville***

***Computer Information Systems (CIS)***

**CIS420 Group Project - Peer Evaluation Form:**

The success of any group project depends on the contributions of its members. This evaluation will assist me in determining whether all members participated fully and equally in its research, analysis, preparation, and implementation of the assigned project.

The information you provide on this form is strictly confidential and will not be shared with the other team members.

This is a different kind of evaluation, where you need to assess each other and yourself based on receiving a hypothetical $1000 bonus. The peer evaluation has two parts; the first part is your assessment of how this hypothetical $1000 should be dispersed among the team members for developing and completing the project. The second part is to repeat the task and leave yourself out of the assessment. Complete this form and submit to the Blackboard.

This is a global evaluation, which means you need to consider each team member’s overall performance over the entire duration of the project. Factors that you need to consider the following:

* **Reliability** of the individual to meet with the team on this project and meet the work deadlines.
* **Preparedness** of the individual when attending team meetings.
* **Time and effort** put into the team’s design analysis, discussion activities, and delivering assigned project.
* **Quality of work** and ideas submitted to the group.
* **Professional attitude** toward the project and other members of the team.
* **Professional contributions** including equal participation in research, analysis, design, preparation, and implementation of the assigned project.

Part I: Please be as objective and fair as possible with your assessments of yourself and the other members of your team.

Team Member #1  Regis Wilson $\_\_\_\_\_\_197\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #2  Denis Dzankovic $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_200\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #3  Christopher Lund $\_\_\_203\_\_\_

Team Member #4  Trent Rader  $ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_203\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #5  Mahendra Khanal  $ \_\_\_\_\_197\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

                                         Total Must Equal                    $ 1,000

In this section please explain why you have distributed the bonuses in the manor you chose to do it. Please be as specific as possible with your comments below:

COMMENTS: We took the comments that you made from Demo II and we adjusted our website so. I worked on most of the discussion boards and newsletter pages and getting those set up, while Chris, Trent, Denis, and Mahendra worked on the other pages and getting those up and running as well. We are also making the adjustments that we have received from you and will be ready to show by the final demo to Wilderness Louisville.

P**art Two:  Please divide the money fairly and leave yourself of the assessment group.**

Please be as objective and fair as possible in your assessments of your team members:

Team Member #1  Regis Wilson $\_\_\_\_\_\_0\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #2  Denis Dzankovic $\_\_\_\_\_\_\_250\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #3  Christopher Lund $\_\_\_253\_\_\_

Team Member #4  Trent Rader  $ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_253\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Member #5  Mahendra Khanal  $ \_\_\_\_\_244\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

                                         Total Must Equal                    $ 1,000

 In this section please explain why you have distributed the bonuses in the manor you chose to do it. Please be as specific as possible with your comments below:

COMMENTS: Adding on to that, Chris and Denis have worked very hard on the website and have helped everyone with setting up their webpages accordingly. They even put together a demo video in our project management software that shows us how to get started to edit everything. Trent has also been helpful with his wireframes and Mahendra assisted with those as well. All in all, these four guys have been working very hard on this presentation.